

Docket No. CAT29US-SCRCO3


IN RE APPLICATION OF: Scroggie et al

SERIAL NO: 09/756,788

FILED: January 10, 2001

FOR: System and Method for Shopping Aids and Incentives to Customers Through a Computer Network

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231



RECEIVED

APR 21 2003

GROUP 3600

SIR:

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.

- ☐ No additional fee is required
- ☐ Small entity status of this application under 37 C.F.R. §1.9 and §1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted.
- ☐ Small entity status of this application under 37 C.F.R. §1.9 and §1.27 has been established by a verified statement submitted herewith.
- ☐ Additional documents filed herewith:

The Fee has been calculated as shown below:

CLAIMS	CLAIMS REMAINING		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID	NO. EXTRA CLAIMS	RATE	CALCULATIONS	
TOTAL	91	MINUS	58	33	× \$18 =	\$594.00	
INDEPENDENT	7	MINUS	7	0	× \$84 =	\$0.00	
		<input type="checkbox"/> MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS	+ \$280 =			\$0.00	
		TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS					\$594.00
		<input type="checkbox"/> Reduction by 50% for filing by Small Entity					\$0.00
		<input type="checkbox"/> Recordation of Assignment				+ \$40 =	\$0.00
		<input type="checkbox"/> Petition for Extension of Time: 1 Month				+ \$110 =	\$0.00
		<input type="checkbox"/> 2 Months				+ \$400 =	\$0.00
		<input type="checkbox"/> 3 Months				+ \$920 =	\$0.00
		<input type="checkbox"/> 4 Months				+ \$1,440 =	\$0.00
		<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer				+ \$130 =	\$0.00
				<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement Prior to Final			+ \$180 =
<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____							
TOTAL					\$594.00		

■ A check including the amount of **\$594.00** is attached.

■ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number 50-2106.

Respectfully Submitted,

4/17/03  
Date

Richard A. Neifeld, Ph.D.  
Registration No. 35,299  
Attorney of Record

Tel: 703-415-0012  
Fax: 703-415-0013  
Email: rneifeld@Neifeld.com



31518

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

Neifeld IP Law, PC  
Crystal Plaza I  
2001 Jefferson Davis Highway  
Suite 1001  
Arlington, VA 22202

Y:\Clients\Catalina\CAT29US-SCRO\CAT29US-SCRCO3\Drafts\AmendmentCL\_030414.wpd

Printed: April 15, 2003 (10:51am)

DOCKET NO: CAT29US-SCRCO3  
PRIOR DOCKET NO.: 7791-7791-25-X CONT



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*Admitt 12/B*  
*Lowman*  
*4/28/03*

RE APPLICATION OF:  
MICHAEL C. SCROGGIE ET AL.

:

: GROUP: 3622

APPLICATION NUMBER: 09/756,788

: EXAMINER: STEPHEN GRAVINI

FILED: January 10, 2001

:

FOR: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SHOPPING  
AIDS AND INCENTIVES TO CUSTOMERS  
THROUGH A COMPUTER NETWORK

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

**RECEIVED**

APR 21 2003

**GROUP 3600**

37 CFR 1.111 AMENDMENT

Sir: In response to the non final office action mailed January 21, 2003, please enter this amendment.

04/18/2003 ZJUHR1 00000044 09756788

01 FC:1202

594.00 0P

## Table of Contents

I.	CLAIMS PENDING .....	1
II.	REMARKS .....	7
A.	Front Page of Office Action .....	7
B.	Support for Amended Claims .....	7
C.	Summary and Response to Items Enumerated in the Office Action .....	8
1.	Item 1 - Objection to Cited Prior Art .....	8
2.	Item 2 .....	9
3.	Item 3 - 37 CFR 1.105 Requirement .....	9
4.	Item 4 .....	9
5.	Item 5 - 35 USC 101 Rejection of Claim 58 .....	9
6.	Item 6 .....	10
7.	Item 7 - 35 USC 112 First Paragraph Rejections of Claims 32-58 .....	10
a.	Claims 32-58 are Enabled .....	11
b.	Claims 32-58 are Described in the Specification .....	11
i.	Support for “a web site for providing purchasing incentives from multiple sources” .....	11
ii.	Support for “a consumer purchase history database based on online shopping activity” .....	12
a.	Page 23 lines 6-16 .....	12
b.	Page 22 lines 10-17 .....	12
c.	Page 20 line 25 - page 21 line 1 .....	13

iii.	Support for “a consumer purchase history database based on online shopping activity” .....	14
a.	Page 15 lines 4-10 .....	14
b.	Page 20 lines 26-27 .....	14
c.	Page 20 line 29 - page 21 line 3 .....	14
iv.	Support for “wherein the consumer database is connected to the web site so as to receive consumer data from the web site” .....	15
v.	Support for “means for delivering purchasing incentives to consumers by e-mail” .....	15
8.	Item 8 .....	16
9.	Item 9 - 35 USC 112 Second Paragraph Rejections of Claims 32-58 ...	16
10.	Item 10 .....	17
11.	Item 11 - 35 USC 112 Sixth Paragraph Rejections of Claims 32, 33, 38, 39, 44, 45, and 57 .....	17
12.	Item 12 .....	18
13.	Item 13 - 35 USC 102(b) and (e) Rejections of Claims 32-58 .....	18
a.	35 USC 102(b) .....	18
i.	No Prima Facie Showing .....	18
ii.	Goldhaber et al. - Filed December 11, 1995 .....	19
iii.	Manasse - Filed July 10, 1995 .....	19
iv.	Huberman - Filed August 23, 1995 .....	20
v.	Jovivic et al. - Filed November 15, 1995 .....	20
vi.	Day et al. - Filed August 11, 1995 .....	20

vi.	Day et al. - Filed August 11, 1995 .....	20
vii.	Barnett et al. - Filed April 19, 1995 .....	21
14.	Item 14 .....	21
15.	Item 15 .....	21
16.	Item 16 - 35 USC 103(c) Rejection of Claims 32-58 Based Upon (1) Examiner's Alleged Personal Knowledge and (2) the www.PerformanceBike.com Web Site .....	22
a.	No Physical Evidence Supports the Examiner's Decision .....	23
b.	Probative Evidence Exists That The PerformanceBike.com Web Site Did Not Exist In 1994 .....	24
i.	The Web Master for PerformanceBike.com Indicated That the First PerformanceBike.com Web Site Was Launched in Late 1996 .....	24
ii.	Physical Evidence Indicates That The PerformanceBike.com Web Site Came into Existence in Late 1997 .....	24
iii.	The www.PerformanceBike.com Domain Name Was Not Even Registered Until December 3, 1996 .....	26
c.	The Weight of the Evidence is that the PerformanceBike Web Site did not Exist in 1994 .....	28
d.	Objectively Implausible Nature of Factual Assertions in the Examiner's Declaration .....	28
e.	The PerformanceBike.com Web Site Did Not In Fact Anticipate or Suggest Any One of Claims 32-58 .....	28
f.	Obviousness Rejections Based on the Examiner's Declaration ..	29
g.	Rejections Based on Official Notice .....	30
17.	Item 17 .....	30

18.	Item 18 - Obviousness-type Double Patenting Rejections Over Claims of USPs 5,790,649, 6,014,634, and 6,185,541 .....	30
a.	The Double Patenting Rejections Over Claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 5,970,469 .....	31
b.	The Double Patenting Rejections Over Claims 1-39 of U.S. Patent No. 6,014, 634 .....	31
c.	The Double Patenting Rejections Over Claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,185,541 .....	32
D.	Appendix I - Marked-up Copy of Claims Pending .....	33
E.	Appendix II - List of Attachments. ....	39